

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4595 of 1997

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

3. Whether Their Lordsh

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?  
No.

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Appearance:

Ms.Banna Datta for MR ANIL S DAVE for Petitioner  
Mr.SJ DAVE, A.G.P. for Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 19/08/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. By way of this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India the petitioner-detenu has brought under challenge the detention order dated 14th May 1997 rendered by respondent No.1 u/s.3(1) of the Gujarat Prevention of Anti-social Activities Act, 1985 (Act No.16 of 1985), for short "the PASA Act".

2. The grounds, on which the impugned order of detention has been passed appear at Annexure : C. They inter alia indicate that the petitioner has been indulging in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oul ing in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in cri inal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and a ti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social ctivities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities f committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities of committi g thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts o lging in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in c iminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-socia activities of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activitie of committing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities of commit ing thefts oulging in criminal and anti-social activities of committing thefts oulgi

ulting in fear in the mind of people about the security of their movables. The Detaining Authority has placed reliance upon ten cases, eight of 1996 and two of 1997 respectively registered with Vejalpur and Ghatlodia Police Stations, Ahmedabad, inter alia under Sections 379 of the Indian Penal Code. The particulars of such offences have been set out in the grounds of detention.

3. It has been recited that the detenu's anti-social activity tends to obstruct maintenance of public order and in support of such conclusion statements of four witnesses have been relied upon. They speak about the incidents dated 17.4.1997 and 20.4.1997 indicating beating in public the concerned witnesses and creating atmosphere of fear amongst the people collected at the time of such incidents.

4. It is on the aforesaid incidents that the detaining authority has passed the impugned order of detention while also relying upon the aforesaid cases lo dangerous person within the meaning of section 2(c) of the PASA Act.

5. I have heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.G.P. for the State. The petitioner has challenged the aforesaid order of detention on number of grounds inter-alia on the ground that there is no material to indicate that the detenu's conduct would show that he is habitually engaged in the anti-social activities which can be said to be prejudicial to the maintenance of public order. This is a case of individual incidents affecting law and order and in the facts of the case would not amount to leading to conclusion that the same would affect public order. Reliance has been placed on the following decision of the Apex Court :-

Mustakmiya Jabbarmiya Shaikh V/s. M.M.Mehta, C.P. reported in 1995

(2) G.L.R. 1268, where the incidents were quoted in paras : 11 and

12 of the citation and it has been submitted that facts of the present

case run almost parallel to the facts before the Apex Court in Mustakmiya's case (supra).

[illegible]

(supra) can hardly have any application to the facts of the petitioner's case.

7. There are other grounds of challenge levelled against the impugned order of detention. However, in view of the fact that the petitioner would succeed on the strength of decision of Mustakmiya's case (supra), it is not necessary to deal with the other grounds. Hence, following order is passed :

8. The impugned order of detention is hereby quashed and set aside. The petitioner-detenu Motibhai alias Anwar Gulabbhai Qureshi shall be forthwith set at liberty if he is not required to be detained in any other case. Rule made absolute accordingly.

\* \* \* \* \*

\*satvara\*